

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

SCOTT JEFFREY MASON, RUBICON WEALTH  
MANAGEMENT, LLC, and ORCHARD PARK  
REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00292

**FINAL JUDGMENT OF DEFENDANT RUBICON WEALTH MANAGEMENT, LLC**

The Securities and Exchange Commission having filed a Complaint and Defendant Rubicon Wealth Management, LLC (“Defendant”) having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court’s jurisdiction over Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of a preliminary or partial Judgment entered March 3, 2025 (Doc. No. 13); consented to entry of this Final Judgment; waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

**IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that Defendant is permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person

by, directly or indirectly, (i) creating a false appearance or otherwise deceiving any person, or (ii) disseminating false or misleading documents, materials, or information or making, either orally or in writing, any false or misleading statement in any communication with any investor or prospective investor, about:

- (a) any investment strategy or investment in securities;
- (b) the prospects for success of any product or company;
- (c) the use of investor funds;
- (d) compensation to any person;
- (e) Defendant's qualifications to advise investors; or
- (f) the misappropriation of investor funds or investment proceeds.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that, as provided in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(d)(2), the foregoing paragraph also binds the following who receive actual notice of this Judgment by personal service or otherwise: (a) Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys; and (b) other persons in active concert or participation with Defendant or with anyone described in (a).

II.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that Defendant is

permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, while acting as an investment adviser, Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the “Investment Advisers Act”) [15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(1) and (2)] by using the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, directly or indirectly:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud or prospective client; or
- (b) to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deceit upon any client or prospective client

by, directly or indirectly, (i) creating a false appearance or otherwise deceiving any client or prospective client, or (ii) disseminating false or misleading documents, materials, or information or making, either orally or in writing, any false or misleading statement in any communication with any client or prospective client, about:

- (a) any investment strategy or investment in securities;
- (b) the prospects for success of any product or company;
- (c) the use of client funds;
- (d) compensation to any person;
- (e) Defendant’s qualifications to advise clients; or
- (f) the misappropriation of client funds or investment proceeds.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that, as provided in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(d)(2), the foregoing paragraph also binds the following who receive actual notice of this Judgment by personal service or otherwise: (a) Defendant’s officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys; and (b) other persons in active concert or participation with Defendant or with anyone described in (a).

III.

**IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that Defendant is liable for disgorgement of \$17,734,515.69, representing net profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, as well as \$4,913,428.60 in prejudgment interest thereon, for a total of \$22,647,944.29, joint and several with co-defendants Scott Jeffrey Mason and Orchard Park Real Estate Holdings LLC. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that Defendant's disgorgement and prejudgment interest obligation of \$22,647,944.29 is deemed satisfied by the restitution ordered and the order of forfeiture entered on Co-Defendant Mason in the parallel criminal case, *United States v. Scott Mason*, Case No. 2:25-cr-00025 (TJS) (E.D. Pa.).

IV.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that the Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

V.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 12, 2025

/s/ Timothy J. Savage

---

HON. TIMOTHY J. SAVAGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE